

ADDENDUM NUMBER 1

DATE: January 8, 2019

PROJECT: Harbor Bay Community Development District Design-Build of Master Seawall Project Section II (13,550 LF)

OWNER: Harbor Bay Community Development District

MANAGER: Joe Roethke, Rizzetta and Company, Inc.

TO: Prospective Bidders

This First Addendum to the Harbor Bay Community Development District (“District”) Project Manual - Request for Proposals for Design-Build of Master Seawall Project Section II (13,550 LF) provides the following clarification, addition, deletion and/or modification to the Project Manual for the above referenced project. This First Addendum has the same force and effect as the Project Manual, and any costs associated with this First Addendum should also be factored into bid prices.

Please acknowledge receipt of this First Addendum in the space provided in the Bid/Certification Form and by e-mail to District Engineers and Design Criteria Professionals Greg Woodcock, P.E. at Greg.Woodcock@Cardno.com and Christopher Gamache, P.E. at Christopher.Gamache@Cardno.com, with e-mail copies to District Manager Joseph Roethke at JRoethke@rizzetta.com and District Counsel Michael Eckert at MichaelE@hgslaw.com. Failure to acknowledge receipt may disqualify the Proposer.

1. **Contractor Question: Is Cardno/The District satisfied with the current repair procedures? What is the intended life span of these repairs? What is the age of the oldest repair?**

Answer: *Cardno & the District are satisfied with the current repair procedures. The intended design life of the repairs is approximately 50 years. The oldest repairs were made in 2014.*

2. **Contractor Question: Is the hydrographic survey dated 7/7/15 by GeoPoint Surveying Inc. the most current hydro survey available?**

Answer: *Yes, it is the most recent survey performed in the canals.*

3. **Contractor Question: What is the future maintenance dredge elevation for the canals?**

Answer: *Plans for future dredging have not yet been determined or evaluated.*

4. **Contractor Question:** In regards to the rip-rap repair made along lots 718 & 716 in Section II, is this meant to be a permanent solution with a 50 year lifespan?

Answer: *The riprap repair was intended to be a permanent solution, but the expected long term design life was not reported by the EOR. For additional information, see the technical information provided in Tab 6, Technical Memorandum discussing the riprap pilot test program prepared by Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, Inc.*

5. **Contractor Question:** In regards to sections that have been repaired with the addition of a whaler, what is the cross section and how is the whaler tied-back? With a deadman or helical anchor? What is the size and length of the tie-backs? Is this intended to be a permanent solution with a 50 year lifespan?

Answer: *The whalers added in the 2014 repairs were helical tiebacks. The expected long term design life was not reported by the EOR. For additional information, see the technical information provided in Tab 14, Peer Review Report of Seawall Stabilization Options prepared by Langan Engineering and Environmental Services, Inc.*

6. **Contractor Question:** The elevation of the seawall cap varies throughout the project, especially noticeable at the restrictor walls in areas such as lots 601, 603,605,607 & 609 in Section II. Is it the intention of the project to maintain these varied elevations throughout or raise all seawall cap to the higher of the elevations?

Answer: *It is not the intent of this project to raise the top of cap elevation of the restrictor walls (at Elev. 3±) to match the top of cap elevation of the typical canal walls (at Elev. 6±).*

ANY PROPOSER WISHING TO PROTEST ANY OR ALL OF THE MATTERS CONTAINED OR ADDRESSED IN THIS ADDENDUM SHALL FILE A NOTICE OF PROTEST WITH THE DISTRICT ENGINEERS AND DESIGN PROFESSIONALS, GREG WOODCOCK AND CHRISTOPHER GAMACHE, C/O CARDNO, 20215 CORTEZ BLVD, BROOKSVILLE, FLORIDA 34601, IN WRITING WITHIN SEVENTY-TWO HOURS (EXCLUDES WEEKENDS AND HOLIDAYS) AFTER POSTING OF THIS ADDENDUM. A FORMAL WRITTEN PROTEST ADEQUATELY DETAILING WITH PARTICULARITY THE FACTS AND LAW UPON WHICH THE PROTEST IS BASED SHALL BE FILED WITHIN SEVEN (7) CALENDAR DAYS AFTER THE NOTICE OF PROTEST IS FILED. FAILURE TO TIMELY FILE A WRITTEN NOTICE OF PROTEST OR FAILURE TO TIMELY FILE A FORMAL WRITTEN PROTEST SHALL CONSTITUTE A WAIVER OF ANY RIGHT TO OBJECT OR PROTEST WITH RESPECT TO THIS ADDENDUM.

END OF ADDENDUM 1